

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,609	06/03/2005	Norihiko Nakahara	07409.0043	4952	
	7590 10/20/200 HENDERSON, FARAI	EXAMINER			
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			HUNTER, ALVIN A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	111011111111111111111111111111111111111		3711		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/20/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/537,609	NAKAHARA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ALVIN A. HUNTER	3711		

	ALVIN A. HONTER	3/11						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period re- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply re-ceived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
	A colored the data of fire a bole f							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		_ = =,,						
 They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (OTOL -324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Inpliant Americanient (102-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [will not be entered, or b) wil	I be entered and an e	planation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	ided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but 	hefore or on the date of filing a No	ntice of Anneal will not	he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. 🗌 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. Other:								
	/ALVIN A HUNTER/							
	Primary Examiner							

Art Unit: 3711

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the combination do not meet the claims because Nishio does not show the interior of the club head having a smooth surface. The examiner disagrees. Nishio was merely used to show that a hosel may be attached separately. Oxada shows all of the limitations of the claim except for the hosel being seprately attached. The hosel being attached separately is merely a choice of design by the applicant. Applicant also cited JP 2001-1907129 shows a hosel attached separately to a club head. Coonecting a hosel separately is not the novel aspect of the invention and does not bear any criticality to the invention.